Background/Purpose: Perineal ectopic anus in female infants is not a very uncommon congenital anomaly. The close proximity of the ectopic anus with the vulva and the stenosed opening seen in large majority of cases necessitate some form of surgical correction. A variety of surgical procedures like cutback, posterior anal transposition, PSARP, or ASAPR, with or without diverting colostomy have been described in the literature. However, in dealing with a case of ectopic anus, the authors thought a much simpler surgical correction would suffice giving an aesthetically and functionally acceptable perineum. This new and simple surgical procedure developed by Ashok Shah, Anal Shift is described in this report.

Methods: Twelve female infants with anterior ectopic anus, between 3 and 18 months of age who had undergone surgical correction by this new method (Anal Shift) is reported. Five of these children had severe and 2 had mild anal stenosis. The new technique described in detail includes shifting and creation of a new anus in the anatomically normal site and construction of the perineal body. Colostomy was not done in any of them.

Results: The cases had been followed up for 12 to 24 months. Anal function was normal with satisfactory vulvo-anal distance without any stenosis. One patient had superficial anterior wound dehiscence; she underwent reoperation with good results.

Conclusions: Anal Shift is a simple surgical procedure. It does not necessitate lateral dissection, therefore, the rectal support and the neurovascular supply to the rectum remains undisturbed, eliminating any chance of retraction. The anterior half of the neoanus does not have a suture line, thereby minimizing the chances of stricture formation. Anal Shift appears to be a safe, simple and satisfactory surgical procedure to correct anterior ectopic anus in girls without a colostomy.
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Closure

First, incision B-B¹ is closed. Suture is taken from point B to the apex of the cut end of the rectum. One or 2 lateral sutures are taken as required (Fig 4). This closure pulls the anus backwards.

Incision A-A¹ is closed vertically in midline, which pushes anus posteriorly. First, lateral fibromuscular mass is closed in the midline by 3-0 or 4-0 polyglycolic sutures (Vicryl). Normally, three sutures are required to gain a sufficiently sized perineal body.

A second layer of sutures is used if required. The skin also is closed vertically. Sufficient anovulvar distance is created. Anal Shift is now completed.

We recommend completing the dissection of both anterior and posterior incisions before closure. Which wound (incision) to close first, either B-B¹ or A-A¹, remains a personal choice.

RESULTS

With our limited experience, the results of Anal Shift are quite promising, and none of the 12 patients had stenosis or retraction in the follow-up, which was 12 to 24 months. Good vulvoanal distance was achieved in all patients except in the first in whom it appeared that satisfactory anterior dissection was not done initially. The baby had superficial anterior wound dehiscence, which was sutured with satisfactory results.

Regular anal dilatation was not required. Calibration was done after 2 weeks, one month and 2 months after surgery. Laxatives were given in the first 5 to 7 days after surgery and then withdrawn. None of the patients required laxatives later on; however, dietary advice and toilet training was absolutely necessary. Constipation has not been a troublesome problem in our follow-up, the longest being 2 years.

DISCUSSION

The management of low ARM in female infants has been the topic of controversy since 1826 when Dieffenbach proposed the perineal-anal transplant operation. Potts et al³ described the technique in 1954, which has
been used extensively for the treatment of anterior ectopic anus in girls. Undoubtedly, it is a standard operation; however, the results of this operation in others had not been uniformly satisfactory. Moderate to severe complications like anastomotic dehiscence, retraction, recurrent fistula, stenosis, and continence problems were reported in about 20% of patients.\textsuperscript{4,7} The “cut back” operation with dilatation will widen the anus; however, this procedure does not place the anus in a normal position. As a result, acceptable vulvoanal distance is not achieved, and soiling of vulva might continue to occur with its associated risks, and the child is likely to have constipation off and on. Thus, this procedure does not satisfy the functional, aesthetic, and cosmetic requirements in our patients.\textsuperscript{8-10} Abeyaratne described the “Z-technique” for gaining the distance between vagina and anus. The procedure takes the anus down with its original anocutaneous margin. However, it requires considerable dissection with 20% wound infection rate and significant incidence of breakdown.\textsuperscript{11}

Anterior midline approach or anterior sagittal anorectoplasty (ASARP) is being used increasingly these days for low ARM in girls with gratifying results. However, in a series of 416 patients with ASARP complications like recurrence of fistula, retraction of rectum, development of acquired perineal canal, anal stenosis, and posterior ledge occurred in 48 patients. Posterior ledge leads to intractable constipation, which requires reoperation (division of ledge and mucocutaneous apposition).\textsuperscript{12}

Limited PSARP, if associated with lot of perirectal dissection, is likely to cause the most severe changes in the external appearance of the anus, bowel control, and manometric parameters.\textsuperscript{13-16} To conclude, we feel that the Anal Shift is a much simpler surgical procedure without too much perineal dissection and it gives the following benefits: (1) It preserves at least the anterior half of mucocutaneous junction of the original anus, thus, there are fewer chances of stenosis. (2) Dissection is not done laterally; therefore, neurovascular supply and lateral supports are practically not disturbed. (3) Perineal body is formed under vision. (4) Good vulvoanal distance is achieved giving aesthetically and functionally acceptable perineum. Thus, Anal Shift could be an alternative operation to all other accepted procedures in the management of anterior ectopic anus in girls. It appears to be a simple and satisfactory procedure; however, long-term experience is necessary before calling it ideal.
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